COMBO Plus EPC Capture DES: Proven concept to hard clinical evidence

Roxana Mehran MD, MSCAI, FACC, FAHA, FESC

Professor of Medicine (Cardiology), and Population Health Science and Policy The Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai



Disclosure Statement of Financial Interest

Within the past 12 months, I or my spouse/partner have had a financial interest/arrangement or affiliation with the organization(s) listed below.

Affiliation/Financial Relationship	Company
Consulting Fees/Honoraria	Abbott Vascular, American College of Cardiology, AstraZeneca, Medscape, Shanghai BraccoSine, Spectranetics Abiomed, The Medicines Company (spouse)
DSMB membership paid to the Institution	Watermark Research Partners
Executive Committee	Janssen Pharmaceuticals
Grant/Research Support (Institutional)	Astra Zeneca, Bayer, Beth Israel Deaconess, Bristol Myers-Squibb, CSL Behring, Diachi Sankyo, Medtronic, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, OrbusNeich
Speakers fees	LifeSciences Conferences



The Combo Plus Dual-Therapy Stent: Traditional DES with biological therapy



CD34 Antibodies Enable active capture of EPC for fast endothelial coverage

Sirolimus & Polymer Matrix Drug and bioabsorbable polymer matrix for control proliferation

Stent & Delivery System Highly conformable stent with excellent radial strength



CD34 antibodies capture circulating EPCs



Following implantation, the immobilized CD34 antibodies are exposed to the circulating blood Circulating endothelial progenitor cells (EPC) are captured by the antibodies EPCs attach and differentiate into mature endothelial cells; an important step in reestablishing healthy neointima

COMBO Technology Proven healing concept

- Evidence:
 - A-V human shunt
 - Attachment of cells with endothelial signature within hours
 - Porcine study
 - Better coverage at 14 days
 - Rabbit model study
 - Better endothelialization vs. EES at 28 days
- EGO COMBO
 Progressio
 - Progression of coverage
 - regression of neointima 9 => 24 months
 - HARMONEE OCT sub-study



- Superior coverage with healthy neointima at 12 months
- More homogeneous neointima vs. EES

Porcine Model at 14 days





Nakazawa G, et al. JACC: Cardiovasc Intervent 2010; 3(1): 68-75.

Clinical trials with COMBO

HARMONEE

12mo TVF in COMBO vs EES (n=572)

RECOVERY

9mo IS LLL in Combo vs NanoPolymer Free stent (n=432)

MASCOT

12mo TLF registry (n=2614)

REDUCE

3mo vs 12mo DAPT in ACS (n=1500)

All results have been presented at TCT 2017



HARMONEE First Report: Randomized Registration Study for COMBO **HBD Proof of Concept Global Trial Program** Japan PMDA & U.S. FDA



Advance Publication by-J-STAGE

Circulation Journal Official Journal of the Japanese Circulation Society http://www.j-circ.or.jp

Global Cardiovascular Device Innovation: Japan-USA Synergies

- Harmonization by Doing (HBD) Program, a Consortium of Regulatory Agencies, Medical Device Industry, and Academic Institutions -

Takahiro Uchida, MD; Fumiaki Ikeno, MD; Koji Ikeda, PhD; Yuka Suzuki, PhD; Koji Todaka, MD; Hiroyoshi Yokoi, MD; Gary Thompson, BSc; Mitchel Krucoff, MD; Shigeru Saito, MD on behalf of the Harmonization by Doing Program Working Group

Background: Global medical devices have become more popular, but investment money for medical device development is not easily available in the market. Worldwide health-care budget constraints mean that efficient medical device development has become essential. To achieve efficient development, globalization is a key to success. Spending large amounts of money in different regions for medical device development is no longer feasible.

Methods and Results: In order to streamline processes of global medical device development, an academic, govemmental, and industrial consortium, called the Harmonization by Doing program, has been set up. The program has been operating between Japan and the USA since 2003. The program has 4 working groups: (1) Global Cardiovascular Device Trials; (2) Study on Post-Market Registry; (3) Clinical Trials; and (4) Infrastructure and Methodology Regulatory Convergence and Communication. Each working group has as its goals the achievement of speedy and efficient medical device development in Japan and the USA. The program has held multiple international meetings to deal with obstacles against efficient medical device development.

Uchida T et al, Circulation Journal 2013



HARM DNEE

HARMONEE trial study design

Study Objective

To demonstrate the effectiveness of COMBO vs. Xience in the treatment of significant ischemic heart disease, including UA and NSTEMI patients

Primary Endpoint

Ischemia & FFR driven TVF at 1 year, TVF defined as cardiac death, tv-MI, or ischemia-driven TVR

Principal Investigators

Mitch Krucoff, MD, Duke UMC Durham, NC, USA Shigeru Saito, ShonanKamakura General Hospital, Kamakura, Japan

ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02073565



Enrollment & Follow-Up: ITT population





HARMONEE



Harmonized Assessment by Randomized, Multi-center Study of OrbusNEich's COMBO StEnt

Primary Clinical Endpoint: 1 year TVF non-inferiority







Harmonized Assessment by Randomized, Multi-center Study of OrbusNEich's COMBO StEnt

1 Year Target Vessel Failure (TVF)* Combo vs. EES Non-inferiority** (ITT Population)



rom Thought Leadership to Clinical Practice

1 Year Composite Outcomes (ITT Population)



Harmonized Assessment by Randomized, Multi-center Study of OrbusNEich's COMBO StEnt

	Combo (N=287)	EES (N=285)
Target vessel failure (TVF) ¹	20 (7.0%)	12 (4.2%)
Cardiac death	0	0
Target-vessel MI	5 (1.7%)	3 (1.1%)
TVR (ischemia-driven)	18 (6.3%)	11 (3.9%)
Target lesion failure (TLF) ²	19 (6.6%)	12 (4.2%)
All-cause death	2 (0.7%)	0
Nonfatal MI	11 (3.8%)	8 (2.8%)
TLR (ischemia-driven)	16 (5.6%)	9 (3.2%)
ARC ST (poss/prob/def)	0	1 (0.35%)

¹Composite of cardiac death, target-vessel MI, or ischemia-driven target-vessel revascularization (TVR) by percutaneous or surgical methods.

²Composite of death, MI or ischemia-driven target lesion revascularization.



1 Year QCA Core Laboratory Late Loss [mean (SD)]* (Cohorts A and B: N=140)

	Combo	EES
N (lesions/patients)	77/65	76/66
In-stent late loss (mm)	0.293 (0.435)	0.219 (0.352)
In-segment late loss (mm)	0.229 (0.398)	0.220 (0.359)
Restenosis		
In-stent	1 (1.3%)	2 (2.6%)
In-segment	2 (2.5%)	3 (3.9%)

* All comparisons p=NS



HARMONEE



Harmonized Assessment by Randomized, Multi-center Study of OrbusNEich's COMBO StEnt

EPC Technology Primary Mechanistic Endpoint 1 year "healthy tissue"-- superiority (OCT core laboratory)







Duke Clinical Research Institute

Courtesy Dr. Akiko Maehara, CRF OCT Core Laboratory

- T 🗖

1 Year OCT Qualitative Homogeneous Neointimal Tissue (Cohorts A and B, N=140)



Harmonized Assessment by Randomized, Multi-center Study of OrbusNEich's COMBO StEnt

Number of lesion/patients	Combo (69/61)	EES (64/60)
Homogenous NIT	81.2%	68.8%







Harmonized Assessment by Randomized, Multi-center Study of OrbusNEich's COMBO StEnt

Primary 1 year safety: *Zero HAMA conversions*





RECOVERY Study Design

Study Objective

To evaluate safety and efficacy of the COMBO stent compared to the Nano polymer-free stent

Primary Endpoint

In-Segment LLL at 9 months

Principal Investigators

Tao Ling, First Affiliated Hospital of the Forth Medical University, Xi'an, Shanxi, China Xu Bo, Secondary Affiliated Hospital of Harbin University, Harbin, Heilongjaing, China



Primary Endpoint: In-Segment Late Loss at 9 Months

Combo	PF-SES	Difference : 0.01mm	Noninferiority
(N = 187)	(N = 195)		P ≤0.0001
0.30 ± 0.47	0.31 ± 0.44	Upper 2-sided 95% CI: 0.09 mr	n

Zone of non-inferiority Pre-specified margin = 0.16mm

			Non-i	nferio	or						
-0.04	-0.02	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.12	0 14	0.16	0 18 mm
-0.04	-0.02	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.00	•	0.12	Difference	& Upper	95% CI

Primary Non-Inferiority Endpoint Met

One year TLF and components



TLF – defined as a composite of cardiac death, target vessel myocardial infarction and ischemia-driven target lesion revascularization

MASCOT Study Design

Study Objective

To evaluate long-term safety and performance of the COMBO Dual Therapy Stent in routine clinical practice

Primary Endpoint

TLF at 12 months defined as cardiac death, non-fatal target vessel MI and TLR

Principal Investigator

Antonio Colombo, San Raffaele Hospital, Milan, Italy

Data Coordinating Director

Roxana Mehran, Mount Sinai Medical



1-year Outcomes

	N=2614
Primary endpoint: TLF	88 (3.4%)
All cause Death	53 (2.0%)
Cardiac	36(1.4%)
Non Cardiac	14 (0.5%)
Cardiovascular	39 (1.5%)
Non-fatal MI	
 Not clearly attributed to a non-target vessel 	36 (1.4%)
• Any	49 (1.9%)
Ischemia driven revascularization	
• TLR	37 (1.4%)
• TVR	12 (0.5%)
NTVR	37 (1.4%)
• Any	72 (2.8%)
Def/Prob Stent Thrombosis	24 (0.9%)
Def ST	12 (0.46%)
Prob ST	12 (0.46%)
MACE	137 (5.2%)
Stroke	11(0.42%)
Bleeding	
• Major	46 (1.8%)
• Minor	63 (2.4%)
Nuisance	20 (0.8%)
• Any	124 (4.8%)





Associations between DAPT cessation and 1-year outcomes

	Adj* HR	95% CI	P value	
TLF				
Discontinuation	1.20	0.47-3.10	0.70	
Disruption	2.52	0.61-10.36	0.20	
MACE				
Discontinuation	0.70	0.28-1.75	0.44	
Disruption	3.18	1.17-8.68	0.024	
Major bleeding				
Discontinuation	1.04	0.24-4.64	0.95	
Disruption	3.44	0.47-25.5	0.23	

Presented at TCT 2017

* Adjusted for age, sex and center







REDUCE study design

Study Objective

Demonstrate a non-inferiority of 90 d vs. 360 d DAPT in ACS patients treated with COMBO

Primary Endpoint

Composite of all cause mortality, MI, Stroke, Major Bleeding (BARC type 2, 3 or 5)

Principal Investigators

Harry Suryapranata, Radboud University Hospital Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Giuseppe De Luca, Eastern Piedmont University, Novara, Italy ACS Patients (STEMI, NSTEMI, UA) from 36 centers in Asia and Europe (N=1,500) 1:1 COMBO DTS with COMBO DTS with 12-month DAPT 3-month DAPT (N = 750)(N = 750)3- & 6-Month 3- & 6-Month Clinical FUP Clinical FUP 1-Year 1-Year Clinical FUP Clinical FUP 2-Year 2-Year Clinical FUP Clinical FUP

REDUCE study results



REDUCE study results



REDUCE study results



Combo Clinical Trial Program



Patients (Patients receiving COMBO Stent: 6,168 Enrollment ongoing)



Combo Clinical Trial Program



††††††† 7,000+

Patients

(Patients receiving COMBO Stent: 6,168 Enrollment ongoing)



SORT OUT X

PI: Dr. Jakobsen, Aarhus University Hospital Skejby ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03216733

Randomized controlled trial

- COMBO versus Orsiro stent (1:1 randomization)
- N= 3140 all-comer patients

Primary endpoint

Target Lesion Failure (TLF): cardiac death, target-vessel myocardial infarction (MI), or ischemia-driven target-lesion revascularization at 12 months

→ Currently enrolling patients



Conclusions

- COMBO is an active multifaceted stent with an CD34 antibody coating that recruits circulating endothelial progenitor cellspromoting healing
- COMBO has been shown in clinical trials to be safe and effective, as compared to other DES and in 'all comers' registries and randomized clinical trials
- Among ACS patients treated with COMBO stent, 3-months DAPT is not inferior to 12-months DAPT
- SORT OUT X will reveal the value of the added pro-healing layer to drug-eluting stents.





A N N O U N C I N G A SPECIAL ANNIVERSARY RATE FOR TCT 2018!

For practicing physicians and allied health professionals

TCTCONFERENCE.COM #TCT2018

Center for



CRF